arXiv:1503.04239v1 [math.PR] 13 Mar 2015

On the Ornstein-Zernike behaviour for the supercritical Random-Cluster model on $\mathbb{Z}^d, d \geq 3$.

M. Campanino[#,†], M. Gianfelice[°,†]
[#]Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Bologna
P.zza di Porta San Donato, 5 I-40127
massimo.campanino@unibo.it
[°]Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica
Università della Calabria
Campus di Arcavacata
Ponte P. Bucci - cubo 30B
I-87036 Arcavacata di Rende
gianfelice@mat.unical.it

March 17, 2015

Abstract

We prove Ornstein-Zernike behaviour in every direction for finite connection functions of the random cluster model on $\mathbb{Z}^d, d \geq 3$, for $q \geq 1$, when occupation probabilities of the bonds are close to 1. Moreover, we prove that equi-decay surfaces are locally analytic, strictly convex, with positive Gaussian curvature.

Contents

1 Introduction and results **2**
1.1 Notation 3

AMS Subject Classification : 60K35, 82B43, 60K15, 60F17.

Keywords and phrases: Random Cluster Model, Ornstein-Zernike behaviour for connectivities, renormalization, Ruelle operator, local limit theorem, invariance principle.

[†] M. C. and M. G. are partially supported by G.N.A.M.P.A..

1.1.1 Graphs 4
1.1.2 The Random Cluster measure 4
1.2 Results 6

2 Analysis of connectivities 7
2.1 Effective structure of connectivities 10
2.1.1 Definitions 10
2.1.2 Renormalization 12
2.1.3 Reduction to a one-dimensional thermodynamics 15
2.2 Exact asymptotics of finite connections 23

1 Introduction and results

Ornstein-Zernike behaviour of correlation functions for Gibbs random fields and of connection functions for percolation models gives an exact power law correction outside critical points. Apart from its intrinsic interest, in the two-dimensional case it is related to the behaviour of fluctuations of interfaces and therefore to the study of phases of two dimensional systems ([Ga], [CCC], [CI], [CIL], [CIV2], [CD-CIV]).

Initially Ornstein-Zernike behaviour has been rigorously proved in the high temperature/low probability region (see e. g. [BF]). In the last few decades these results have been extended to subcritical percolation models and to high temperature finite-range Ising models up to their critical points ([CCC], [CI], [CIV1], [CIV2]).

Above the critical probability connection functions converge to a positive constant as the distance of the sites tend to infinity. One is then led to study the asymptotic behaviour of finite connection functions, i.e. the probabilities that two sites belong to a common finite open cluster. These correspond for Gibbs random fields to truncated correlation functions. In [BF] Bricmont and Fröhlich proved Ornstein-Zernike behaviour for truncated correlation functions of Ising model in the direction of axes in dimension $d \geq 3$ at low tempterature. In the same paper arguments are given, suggested by their proof, in favour of a different asymptotic behaviour in the two-dimensional case. A rigorous proof of this in the case of finite connection functions of two-dimensional Bernoulli percolation above critical probability is given in [CIL].

The analysis of the asymptotic behaviour of finite connection functions in dimension $d \geq 3$ has been carried on for Bernoulli percolation with the parameter close to 1 in [BPS] for connection functions along Cartesian axes and then in [CG] for connections in all directions. [BPS] uses cluster expansions, whereas [CG] exploits the methods developed in [CI] and [CIV1], [CIV2], together with specific techniques built up to deal with probabilities of non-monotone events such as finite connections. Here we extend the results of [CG] to FK random cluster models, with $q \geq 1$, when the probability parameter p is close to 1. The exponential decay of finite connection functions of FK random clusters can be established by using an inequality proved in [BHK].

In the rest of this section we present the main results of the paper and the notation that we will use. In the next section we prove the existence of the finite correlation length for translation invariant Random Cluster measures and show that, for p sufficiently close to 1, finite supercritical clusters, up to a negligible probability, have a one-dimensional structure. This will allow us to reduce the analysis of the exact asymptotics of the finite two-point connection function to the proof of a local limit theorem result for an effective stationary random walk via thermodynamic formalism.

Acknowledgements. We thank R. van den Berg for pointing out the results of reference [BHK] on which Proposition 4 is based and the referee for useful comments.

1.1 Notation

Given a set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 1$, let us denote by $\mathcal{A}^c$ its complement. We also set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ to be the collection of all subsets of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{A}) := \{A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) : |A| = n\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{A}) := \bigcup_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{A})$, where $|A|$ is the cardinality of A. Moreover, we denote by $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ respectively the interior of $\mathcal{A}$ and the closure of $\mathcal{A}$ and set $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A} := \overline{\mathcal{A}} \backslash \mathring{\mathcal{A}}$ the boundary of $\mathcal{A}$ in the Euclidean topology. Furthermore, for $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} := \bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{B}} (x + \mathcal{A}) \ , \tag{1}$$

where, given $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$x + \mathcal{A} := \left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : y - x \in \mathcal{A}\right\} \ . \tag{2}$$

Moreover, we denote by $|x| := \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|$, by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and by $\|\cdot\| := \sqrt{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ the associated Euclidean norm. We then set, for $x \neq 0, \hat{x} := \frac{x}{\|x\|}, \mathbb{S}^{d-1} := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|z\| = 1\}$ and, denoting by B the closed unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$, for $r > 0$, we let $rB := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq r\right\}$ and $B_r(x) := x + rB$.

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we define

$$\mathcal{H}^t := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle t, x\rangle = 0\right\} \tag{3}$$

to be the $(d-1)$-dimensional hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^d$ orthogonal to the vector t passing through the origin and the corresponding half-spaces

$$\mathcal{H}^{t,-} := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle t, x\rangle \leq 0\right\} \ , \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{t,+} := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle t, x\rangle \geq 0\right\} \ , \tag{5}$$

so that, setting for $t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{H}^t_x := x + \mathcal{H}^t$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}^t_{x,y} := \mathcal{H}^{t,+}_x \cap \mathcal{H}^{t,-}_y$.

We also denote by $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ the Euclidean distance between two subset $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

1.1.1 Graphs

To make the paper self-contained, we will now introduce those notions of graph theory which are going to be used in the sequel and refer the reader to [Bo] for an account on this subject.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph whose set of vertices and set of edges are given respectively by a finite or denumerable set V and $E \subset \mathcal{P}_2 (V)$. $G' = (V', E')$ such that $V' \subseteq V$ and $E' \subseteq \mathcal{P}_2 (V') \cap E$ is said to be a subgraph of G and this property is denoted by $G' \subseteq G$. If $G' \subseteq G$, we denote by $V (G')$ and $E (G')$ respectively the set of vertices and the collection of the edges of G'. $|V (G')|$ is called the *order* of G' while $|E (G')|$ is called its *size.* Given $G_1, G_2 \subseteq G$, we denote by $G_1 \cup G_2 := (V (G_1) \cup V (G_2), E (G_1) \cup E (G_2)) \subset G$ the *graph union* of G_1 and G_2. Moreover, we say that $G_1, G_2 \subseteq G$ are *disjoint* if $V (G_1) \cap V (G_2) = \varnothing$. A *path* in G is a subgraph γ of G such that there is a bijection $\{0, .., |E (\gamma)|\} \ni i \longmapsto v (i) := x_i \in V (\gamma)$ with the property that any $e \in E (\gamma)$ can be represented as $\{x_{i-1}, x_i\}$ for $i = 1, .., |E (\gamma)|$. A *walk* in G of length $l \geq 1$ is an alternating sequence $x_0, e_1, x_1, .., e_l, x_l$ of vertices and edges of G such that $e_i = \{x_{i-1}, x_i\}$ $i = 1, .., l$. Therefore, paths can be associated to walks having distinct vertices. Two distinct vertices x, y of G are said to be *connected* if there exists a path $\gamma \subseteq G$ such that $x_0 = x,\ x_{|E(\gamma)|} = y$. A graph G is said to be *connected* if any two distinct elements of $V (G)$ are connected. The maximal connected subgraphs of G are called *components* of G and their number is denoted by $\kappa (G)$. Moreover, to denote that $\gamma \subset G$ is a component of G we write $\gamma \sqsubset G$. Given $E' \subseteq E$, we denote by $G (E') := (V, E')$ the *spanning* graph of E'. We also define

$$V (E') := \left(\bigcup_{e \in E'} e \right) \subset V \ . \tag{6}$$

Given $V' \subseteq V$, we set

$$E (V') := \{e \in E : e \subset V'\} \tag{7}$$

and denote by $G [V'] := (V', E (V'))$ that is called the subgraph of G *induced* or *spanned* by V'. Moreover, if $G' \subset G$, we denote by $G \backslash G'$ the graph $G [V \backslash V (G')] \subseteq G$ and define the *boundary* of G' as the set

$$\partial G' := \{e \in E \backslash E (G') : |e \cap V (G')| = 1\} \subset E \ . \tag{8}$$

1.1.2 The Random Cluster measure

Let $\mathbb{L}^d$ denote the graph associated to $\left(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{E}^d\right)$, with

$$\mathbb{E}^d := \left\{\{x, y\} \in \mathcal{P}_2 \left(\mathbb{Z}^d\right) : |x - y| = 1\right\} \ . \tag{9}$$

Let $\mathfrak{L}_0$ be the collection of subgraphs of $\mathbb{L}^d$ of finite order. If $G \in \mathfrak{L}_0$, we denote by $\overline{G}$ the graph induced by the union of $V (G)$ with the the sets of vertices of the components of the $\mathbb{L}^d \backslash G$ of finite size. We define the *external boundary* of G to be $\overline{\partial} G := \partial \overline{G}$. We remark that, given $G_i := (V_i, E_i)\,,\ i = 1,2$ two connected subgraphs of $\mathbb{L}^d$ of finite size, by (8), $\partial (G_1 \cup G_2) \subseteq \partial G_1 \cup \partial G_2$. Moreover,

$$\overline{\partial} (G_1 \cup G_2) = \partial \left(\overline{G_1 \cup G_2} \right) \subseteq \partial \overline{G_1} \cup \partial \overline{G_2}\ . \tag{10}$$

We then set $\mathbb{G}_0 := \left\{ G \in \mathfrak{L}_0 : \partial G = \overline{\partial} G \right\}$ and denote by $\mathbb{G}_c$ the collection of connected elements of $\mathbb{G}_0$.

Considering the realization of $\mathbb{L}^d$ as a geometric graph embedded in $\mathbb{R}^d$, which, with abuse of notation, we still denote by $\mathbb{L}^d$, we can look at it as a cell complex, i.e. as the union of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\mathbb{E}^d$ representing respectively the collection of 0-cells and of 1-cells, we denote by $\left(\mathbb{Z}^d\right)^*$ the collection of d-cells dual to 0-cells in $\mathbb{L}^d$, that is the collection of Voronoi cells of $\mathbb{L}^d$, and by $\left(\mathbb{E}^d\right)^*$ the collection of $(d-1)$-cells dual 1-cells in $\mathbb{L}^d$, usually called *plaquettes* in the physics literature.

We also define

$$\mathfrak{E} := \left\{ \{e_1^*, e_2^*\} \in \mathcal{P}_2 \left(\left(\mathbb{E}^d\right)^* \right) : \operatorname{codim} \left(\mathfrak{d} e_1^* \cap \mathfrak{d} e_2^* \right) = 2 \right\} \tag{11}$$

and consider the graph $\mathfrak{G} := \left(\left(\mathbb{E}^d\right)^*, \mathfrak{E} \right)$.

We remark that since duality is an involution: if $E^* \subset \left(\mathbb{E}^d\right)^*, E^{**} = E \subset \mathbb{E}^d$.

A bond percolation configuration on $\mathbb{L}^d$ is a map $\mathbb{E}^d \ni e \longmapsto \omega_e \in \{0,1\}$. Setting $\Omega := \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{E}^d}$, we define

$$\Omega \ni \omega \longmapsto \mathbf{E}(\omega) := \left\{ e \in \mathbb{E}^d : \omega_e = 1 \right\} \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{E}^d\right)\ , \tag{12}$$

Denoting by $\mathbb{G} := \left\{ G \subseteq \mathbb{L}^d : G = G(E)\ ,\ E \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{E}^d\right) \right\}$ the collection of spanning subgraphs of $\mathbb{L}^d$, we define the random graph

$$\Omega \ni \omega \longmapsto \mathbf{G}(\omega) := G(\mathbf{E}(\omega)) \in \mathbb{G} \tag{13}$$

and by $\kappa(\omega)$ the number of its components. Then, given $l \geq 1,\ x_1, .., x_l \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we denote by

$$\Omega \ni \omega \longmapsto \mathbf{C}_{\{x_1,..,x_l\}}(\omega) \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}^d\right) \tag{14}$$

the *common open cluster of the points* $x_1, .., x_l \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, that is the set of vertices of the component of the random graph $\mathbf{G}$ to which these points belong, provided it exists, and define, in the case $\mathbf{C}_{\{x_1,..,x_l\}}$ is finite, the random set $\overline{\partial}\mathbf{C}_{\{x_1,..,x_l\}}$ to be equal to $\overline{\partial} G$ if G is the component of $\mathbf{G}$ whose set of vertices is $\mathbf{C}_{\{x_1,..,x_l\}}$ and the random set

$$\mathbf{S}_{\{x_1,..,x_l\}} := \left(\overline{\partial} \mathbf{C}_{\{x_1,..,x_l\}} \right)^*\ . \tag{15}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the σ-algebra generated by the cylinder events of Ω. If $\Lambda \subset\subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, let $\mathbb{E}^\Lambda$ be the subset of $\mathbb{E}^d$ such that $V\left(\mathbb{E}^\Lambda\right) = \Lambda$ and denote by $\Omega_\Lambda := \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{E}^\Lambda}$, by

$\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ the corresponding product σ-algebra and by $\mathcal{T}_\Lambda$ the σ-algebra generated by the cylinder events $\{\omega \in \Omega : \omega_\Delta \in A\}$, where $\Delta \subset \Lambda^c, A \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta$. The Random Cluster (RC) measures on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ (see [FK], [ES]) with parameters $q \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{p} := \{p_e\}_{e\in\mathbb{E}^d}$, where $\mathbb{E}^d \ni e \longmapsto p_e \in [0,1]$, are the dependent bond percolation probability measures $\mathbb{P}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ specified by

$$\mathbb{P}(A|\mathcal{T}_\Lambda) = \mathbb{P}^{\cdot}_{\Lambda;q,\mathbf{p}}(A) \ \mathbb{P} - a.s. \ , \qquad A \in \mathcal{F} \ , \tag{16}$$

where, setting for any $\pi \in \Omega^c_\Lambda, \Omega^\pi_\Lambda := \left\{\omega \in \Omega : \omega_e = \pi_e, \ e \in \mathbb{E}^d \backslash \mathbb{E}^\Lambda\right\}, \mathbb{P}^\pi_{\Lambda;q,\mathbf{p}}$ is the probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ with density

$$\mathbb{P}^\pi_{\Lambda;q,\mathbf{p}}(\omega) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}^\pi_\Lambda(q;\mathbf{p})} \prod_{e\in\mathbb{E}^\Lambda} p_e^{\omega_e} (1-p_e)^{1-\omega_e} q^{\kappa_\Lambda(\omega)} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega^\pi_\Lambda}(\omega) \ , \tag{17}$$

where $\kappa_\Lambda(\omega)$ is the number of the components of $\mathbf{G}(\omega)$ intersecting Λ.

Random Cluster measures satisfy the FKG inequality, that is, for any couple f, g of r.v.'s increasing w.r.t. the natural partial order defined on Ω, $\mathbb{P}(fg) \geq \mathbb{P}(f)\mathbb{P}(g)$. Moreover, the partial order of Ω induces a stochastic ordering on the elements of the collection of probability measures defined by (17); namely, for any increasing r.v. f, $\mathbb{P}^{\pi_1}_{\Lambda;q,\mathbf{p}}(f) \leq \mathbb{P}^{\pi_2}_{\Lambda;q,\mathbf{p}}(f)$ if $\pi_1 \leq \pi_2$. Hence, denoting by $\preceq$ such ordering, $\forall\pi \in \Omega^c_\Lambda$, $\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\Lambda;q,\mathbf{p}} \preceq \mathbb{P}^\pi_{\Lambda;q,\mathbf{p}} \preceq \mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{w}}_{\Lambda;q,\mathbf{p}}$, where $\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\Lambda;q,\mathbf{p}}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{w}}_{\Lambda;q,\mathbf{p}}$ stand for respectively the probability measure with density (17) corresponding to the *free* ($\pi \equiv 0$) and to the *wired* ($\pi \equiv 1$) boundary conditions. Since, for $\# =$f,w, the (weak) limit of the sequence $\left\{\mathbb{P}^\#_{\Lambda;q,\mathbf{p}}\right\}$ along any exhaustion $\{\Lambda\} \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ exists (see e.g. [Gr] Theorem 4.19) and is the Random Cluster measure which we denote by $\mathbb{P}^\#_{q,\mathbf{p}}$, the ordering $\preceq$ extends as well to Random Cluster measures and $\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,\mathbf{p}} \preceq \mathbb{P} \preceq \mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{w}}_{q,\mathbf{p}}$.

Furthermore, denoting by $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{p}'} := \mathbb{P}_{1,\mathbf{p}'}$ the independent Bernoulli bond percolation probability measures on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with parameter set $\mathbf{p}' = \{p'_e\}_{e\in\mathbb{E}}$, by Theorem (3.21) p.43 of [Gr], we obtain the following stochastic domination inequalities

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{p}(q)} \preceq \mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,\mathbf{p}} \preceq \mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{w}}_{q,\mathbf{p}} \preceq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{p}} \ , \tag{18}$$

where $\forall e \in \mathbb{E}^d, \ p_e(q) := \frac{p_e}{p_e + q(1-p_e)}$.

In the following, we assume the Random Cluster random field specification defined in (16) to be translation invariant; therefore we set, $\forall e \in \mathbb{E}^d, p_e = p$. Moreover, we assume the Random Cluster measure $\mathbb{P}_{q,p}$ to be translation invariant.

1.2 Results

Theorem 1 *For any $d \geq 3$ and any $q \geq 1$, there exists $p_0 = p_0(q,d)$ such that, $\forall p > p_0$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ as $\|x\| \to \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow x \ , \ \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right| < \infty\right\} = \frac{\Phi_{q,p}(\hat{x})}{\sqrt{(2\pi\|x\|)^{d-1}}} e^{-\tau_{q,p}(x)} (1 + o(1)) \ , \tag{19}$$

where $\Phi_{q,p}$ *is a positive real analytic function on* $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ *and* $\tau_{q,p}$ *an equivalent norm in* $\mathbb{R}^d$*.*

As a by-product of the proof of the previous theorem we also obtain the following result.

Theorem 2 *For any* $d \geq 3$ *and any* $q \geq 1$*, there exists* $p_0 = p_0(q,d)$ *such that,* $\forall p > p_0$*, the equi-decay set of the two-point finite connectivity function is locally analytic and strictly convex. Moreover, the Gaussian curvature of the equi-decay set is uniformly positive.*

2 Analysis of connectivities

Given $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we set

$$\varphi(x,y) := \begin{cases} \min\left\{\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{x,y\}}(\omega)\right| : \omega \in \left\{\left|\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}\right| > 0\right\}\right\} & x \neq y \\ 0 & x = y \end{cases} . \tag{20}$$

φ is symmetric and translation invariant, therefore in the sequel we will write

$$\varphi(x,y) = \varphi(x-y) \ . \tag{21}$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $k \geq \varphi(x)$, let us set $\mathbf{A}_k(x) := \left\{\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}(\omega)\right| = k\right\}$ and $\mathbf{A}^k(x) := \bigvee_{l \geq k} \mathbf{A}_l(x)$. We define

$$\psi_k(x) := \min\left\{\left|E\left(\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}(\omega)\right)\right| : \omega \in \mathbf{A}_k(x)\right\} \ , \tag{22}$$

and set $\mathbf{A}(x) := \mathbf{A}_{\varphi(x)}(x)$ and consequently $\psi(x) := \psi_{\varphi(x)}$.

By Lemma 6 in [CG] it follows that that there exists $c_2 = c_2(d) > 1$ such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$c_2^{-1} \leq \frac{\varphi(x)}{\psi(x)} \leq c_2 \ . \tag{23}$$

Proposition 3 *There exists a constant* $c_3 = c_3(d) > 1$ *such that, for any* $p \in (p^*, 1)$*, where*

$$p^* = p^*(q,d) := \frac{q\left(1 - \frac{1}{c_3}\right)}{\frac{1}{c_3} + q\left(1 - \frac{1}{c_3}\right)} \ , \tag{24}$$

and any $\delta > \delta^*$, *with*

$$\delta^* = \delta^*(p,q,d) := \frac{\log \frac{c_3(d)q(p+q(1-p))^{c_2(d)-1}}{p^{c_2(d)}}}{\log \frac{(p+q(1-p))}{c_3(d)(1-p)q}} \,, \tag{25}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\left\{\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right| \geq (1+\delta)\,\varphi(x)\right\} \middle| \left\{0 < \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right| < \infty\right\}\right) \tag{26}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - c_3\left(\frac{q(1-p)}{p+q(1-p)}\right)} \left[\frac{c_3^{1+\delta}\left(\frac{q}{p+q(1-p)}\right)^{1+\delta}(1-p)^{\delta}}{p^{c_2}}\right]^{\varphi(x)} \,.$$

Proof. For any $k \geq 2d$, we define the (possibly empty) collection of subgraphs of $\mathfrak{G}$

$$\mathfrak{G}_k := \left\{\mathcal{G} \subset \mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{G} = G\left[(\partial G')^*\right] \ ,\ G' \in \mathbb{G}_c^d \ ;\ |V(\mathcal{G})| = k\right\} \,. \tag{27}$$

We have

$$\left\{0 < \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right| < \infty\right\} = \bigvee_{k \geq \varphi(x)} \mathbf{A}_k(x) \tag{28}$$

and, for any $E \in \left\{E' \subset \mathbb{E}^d : E' = \mathbf{E}(\omega), \omega \in \mathbf{A}_k(x)\right\}$, denoting by

$$\mathbf{A}_k(E;x) := \left\{\omega \in \mathbf{A}_k(x) : \mathbf{E}(\omega) = E\right\}, \tag{29}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\mathbf{A}_k(x)) &= \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega : \left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}(\omega)\right| = k\right\} | \mathbf{A}_k(E;x)\right) \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\mathbf{A}_k(E;x)) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega : \left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}(\omega)\right| = k\right\} | \mathbf{A}_k(E;x)\right) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Moreover, because $\left\{\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right| = k\right\}$ is a decreasing event, it holds, since (18) is also valid for $\mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\cdot|\mathbf{A}_k(E;x))$ (see [Gr] Theorem (3.1) p.37), that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\left\{\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right| = k\right\} | \mathbf{A}_k(E;x)\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}_{\frac{p}{p+q(1-p)}}\left\{\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right| = k | \mathbf{A}_k(E;x)\right\} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{q(1-p)}{p+q(1-p)}\right)^k \sum_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{G}_k} \mathbb{P}_{\frac{p}{p+q(1-p)}}\left\{G\left[\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right] = \mathcal{G}\right\} \\ &\leq |\mathbb{G}_k| \left(\frac{q(1-p)}{p+q(1-p)}\right)^k \,. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

We can choose for each $\mathcal{G} \in \mathfrak{G}_k$ a minimal spanning tree $T_{\mathcal{G}}$ and consider the collection of graphs

$$\mathfrak{T}_k := \left\{T_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \in \mathfrak{G}_k\right\} \,. \tag{32}$$

Since given a connected tree there is a walk passing only twice through any edge of the graph, there exists a constant $c_3 = c_3(d) > 1$ such that $|\mathfrak{G}_k| = c_3^k$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right| \geq (1+\delta)\varphi(x), 0 < \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right| < \infty\right\} &\leq \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right| \geq (1+\delta)\varphi(x)\right\} \\ \leq \sum_{k \geq (1+\delta)\varphi(x)} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\mathbf{A}_k(x)) &\leq \sum_{k \geq (1+\delta)\varphi(x)} c_3^k \left(\frac{q(1-p)}{p+q(1-p)}\right)^k . \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Since

$$\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{0 < \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right| < \infty\} = \sum_{k \geq \varphi(x)} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\mathbf{A}_k(x)) \geq \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\mathbf{A}(x)) \ , \tag{34}$$

for any $E \in \left\{E' \subset \mathbb{E}^d : |E'| = \psi(x), E' = \mathbf{E}(\omega), \omega \in \mathbf{A}(x)\right\}$, denoting by $\mathbf{A}(E;x) := \{\omega \in \mathbf{A}(x) : \mathbf{E}(\omega) = E\}$, by (18) and (23),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\mathbf{A}(x)) &= \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\mathbf{A}(x) | \mathbf{A}(E;x)) \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\mathbf{A}(E;x)) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\left\{\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right| = \varphi(x)\right\} | \mathbf{A}(E;x)\right) \mathbb{P}_{\frac{p}{p+q(1-p)}}(\mathbf{A}(E;x)) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}_p\left(\left\{\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right| = \varphi(x)\right\} | \mathbf{A}(E;x)\right) \left(\frac{p}{p+q(1-p)}\right)^{\psi(x)} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{p}{p+q(1-p)}\right)^{\psi(x)} (1-p)^{\varphi(x)} \\ &\geq \left\{\left[\left(\frac{p}{p+q(1-p)}\right)\right]^{c_2} (1-p)\right\}^{\varphi(x)} . \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Therefore, $\forall p \in \left(\frac{q\left(1-\frac{1}{c_3}\right)}{\frac{1}{c_3}+q\left(1-\frac{1}{c_3}\right)}, 1\right)$, choosing δ^* as in (25), $\forall \delta > \delta^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right| \geq (1+\delta)\varphi(x)\} | \left\{0 < \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right| < \infty\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - c_3\left(\frac{q(1-p)}{p+q(1-p)}\right)} \frac{\left[c_3\left(\frac{q(1-p)}{p+q(1-p)}\right)\right]^{(1+\delta)\varphi(x)}}{\left[\left(\frac{p}{p+q(1-p)}\right)^{c_2}(1-p)\right]^{\varphi(x)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - c_3\left(\frac{q(1-p)}{p+q(1-p)}\right)} \left[\frac{c_3^{1+\delta}\left(\frac{q}{p+q(1-p)}\right)^{1+\delta}(1-p)^{\delta}}{\left(\frac{p}{p+q(1-p)}\right)^{c_2}}\right]^{\varphi(x)} . \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

■

Proposition 4 *Given* $q \geq 1$ *and* $p \in (0,1)$ *let* $\mathbb{P}_{q,p}$ *be a translation invariant Random Cluster measure on* $\mathbb{L}^d$ *with parameters* q *and* p*. Then, for any* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\tau_{q,p}(x) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow \lfloor nx \rfloor \ , \ \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,\lfloor nx \rfloor\}}\right| < \infty\right\} \tag{37}$$

exists and is a convex and homogeneous-of-order-one function on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. For any $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$, let us denote by $\mathbf{E}_\Delta := \bigcup_{x\in\Delta} E\left(\mathbf{C}_{\{x\}}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{E}^d$ the set of edges belonging to open paths starting at the vertices of Δ.

Let now Λ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\Lambda \ni 0$. For any two distinct lattice points $x, y \in \Lambda$, looking at $\mathbf{1}_{\{0\longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ 0\not\leftrightarrow\Lambda^c\}}, \mathbf{1}_{\{x\longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ y\not\leftrightarrow\Lambda^c\}}$ as functions of $\left(\mathbf{E}_{\{x\}}, \mathbf{E}_{\Lambda^c}\right)$, they are both nondecreasing on $\mathbf{E}_{\{x\}}$ and nonincreasing on $\mathbf{E}_{\Lambda^c}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 in [BHK],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{0 \longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ 0 \not\leftrightarrow \Lambda^c\} \cap \{x \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ y \not\leftrightarrow \Lambda^c\} \mid \{x \not\leftrightarrow \Lambda^c\}\right) \geq \\ \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{0 \longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ 0 \not\leftrightarrow \Lambda^c\} \mid \{x \not\leftrightarrow \Lambda^c\}\right) \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{x \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ y \not\leftrightarrow \Lambda^c\} \mid \{x \not\leftrightarrow \Lambda^c\}\right)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{x \not\leftrightarrow \Lambda^c\}\, \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{0 \longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ x \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ x \not\leftrightarrow \Lambda^c\} \geq \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{0 \longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ x \not\leftrightarrow \Lambda^c\} \times \\ \times\, \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{x \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ x \not\leftrightarrow \Lambda^c\}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ x \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ \mathbf{C}_{\{0,x,y\}} \cap \Lambda^c = \varnothing\right\} \geq \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ \mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}} \cap \Lambda^c = \varnothing\right\} \times \\ \times\, \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{x \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ \mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}} \cap \Lambda^c = \varnothing\right\}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

But

$$\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ \mathbf{C}_{\{0,y\}} \cap \Lambda^c = \varnothing\right\} \geq \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ x \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ \mathbf{C}_{\{0,x,y\}} \cap \Lambda^c = \varnothing\right\}\ , \tag{41}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ \mathbf{C}_{\{0,y\}} \cap \Lambda^c = \varnothing\right\} \geq \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ \mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}} \cap \Lambda^c = \varnothing\right\} \times \\ \times\, \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{x \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ \mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}} \cap \Lambda^c = \varnothing\right\}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Taking the limit $\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,y\}}\right| < \infty\right\}\right) \geq \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right| < \infty\right\}\right) \times \\ \times\, \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\left\{x \longleftrightarrow y\ ,\ \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}\right| < \infty\right\}\right)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 15 in [CG] we obtain the thesis. ■

2.1 Effective structure of connectivities

2.1.1 Definitions

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Given two points $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\langle x, t\rangle \leq \langle y, t\rangle$, we denote by $\mathbf{C}^t_{\{x,y\}}$ the cluster of x and y inside the strip $\mathcal{S}^t_{x,y}$, if they are connected in the restriction of the configuration to $\mathcal{S}^t_{x,y}$.

Let u be the first of the unit vectors in the direction of the coordinate axes $u_1, .., u_d$ such that $\langle t, u\rangle$ is maximal.

Definition 5 *Given $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\langle x, t\rangle \leq \langle y, t\rangle$ be connected inside $\mathcal{S}^t_{x,y}$. The points $b \in \mathbf{C}^t_{\{x,y\}}$ such that:*

1. $\langle t, x+u\rangle \leq \langle t, b\rangle \leq \langle t, y-u\rangle$;
2. $\mathbf{C}^t_{\{x,y\}} \cap \mathcal{S}^t_{b-u,b+u} = \{b-u, b, b+u\}$;

*are said to be t-*break points *of $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}$. The collection of such points, which we remark is a totally ordered set with respect to the scalar product with t, will be denoted by $\mathbf{B}^t(x,y)$.*

Definition 6 *Given $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\langle x, t\rangle \leq \langle y, t\rangle$ be connected inside $\mathcal{S}^t_{x,y}$. An edge $\{b, b+u\}$ such that $b, b+u \in \mathbf{B}^t(x,y)$ is called a t-*bond *of $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}$. The collection of such edges will be denoted by $\mathbf{E}^t(x,y)$, while $\mathbf{B}^t_e(x,y) \subset \mathbf{B}^t(x,y)$ will denote the subcollection of t-break points b of $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}$ such that the edge $\{b, b+u\} \in \mathbf{E}^t(x,y)$.*

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, let

$$\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t) := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \left(\hat{t}, x\right) \geq (1-\varepsilon)\|x\|\right\} . \tag{44}$$

Definition 7 *Given $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\langle x, t\rangle \leq \langle y, t\rangle$ be connected inside $\mathcal{S}^t_{x,y}$. Then, for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$:*

1. *x is said to be a (t,ε)-*forward cone point *if $\mathbf{C}^t_{\{x,x+u\}} = \{x, x+u\}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}} \cap \mathcal{H}^{t,+}_x \subset x + \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t)$;*
2. *y is said to be a (t,ε)-*backward cone point *if $\mathbf{C}^t_{\{y-u,y\}} = \{y-u, y\}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}} \cap \mathcal{H}^{t,-}_x \subset y - \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t)$;*
3. *z is said to be a (t,ε)-*cone point *if $z \in \mathbf{B}^t(x,y)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\{z,y\}} \subset z + \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t)$, $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,z\}} \subset z - \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t)$. The collection of (t,ε)-*cone points *is denoted by $\mathbf{K}^t_\varepsilon(x,y)$.*

Definition 8 *Given $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\langle x, t\rangle \leq \langle y, t\rangle$ be connected inside $\mathcal{S}^t_{x,y}$. Then, for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$:*

1. *$\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}$ is said to be (t,ε)-*forward irreducible *if x is a (t,ε)-forward cone point and $\mathbf{K}^t_\varepsilon(x+u, y) = \varnothing$;*
2. *$\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}$ is said to be (t,ε)-*backward irreducible *if y is a (t,ε)-backward cone point and $\mathbf{K}^t_\varepsilon(x, y-u) = \varnothing$;*
3. *$\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}$ is said to be (t,ε)-*irreducible *if $x, y \in \mathbf{K}^t_\varepsilon(x,y)$ and $\mathbf{K}^t_\varepsilon(x+u, y-u) = \varnothing$.*

Notice that by definition, if x is a (t,ε)-forward cone point, then is also a (t,ε')-forward cone point for any $\varepsilon' \in (\varepsilon,1)$.The same remark also applies to (t,ε)-backward cone points and therefore to (t,ε)-cone points implying $\mathbf{K}_{\varepsilon}^{t}(x,y) \subseteq \mathbf{K}_{\varepsilon'}^{t}(x,y)$. Hence, if for $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ as in Definition 8, there exists $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ such that $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}$ satisfies either condition 1 or 2 or 3 of that definition, then $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}$ is said to be respectively *t-forward irreducible, t-backward irreducible, t-irreducible* and we denote by $\mathbf{K}^t(x,y) := \bigcup_{\varepsilon\in(0,1)} \mathbf{K}_{\varepsilon}^{t}(x,y)$ the collection of *t-cone points* of $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}$ as well as $\mathcal{E}^t(x,y) := \{e \in \mathbf{E}^t(x,y) : e \subset \mathbf{K}^t(x,y)\}$.

Definition 9 *Given $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\langle x,t\rangle \leq \langle y,t\rangle$ be connected. Two subclusters γ_1 and γ_2 of $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}$ are said to be* compatible*, which condition we denote by $\gamma_1 \coprod \gamma_2$, if they are connected and there exists $b \in \mathbf{K}^t(x,y)$ such that γ_1 is a subcluster of $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,b\}} \cap \mathcal{H}_b^{t,-}$ containing b and γ_2 is a subcluster of $\mathbf{C}_{\{b+u,y\}} \cap \mathcal{H}_{b+u}^{t,+}$ containing $b+u$.*

Therefore, two subsets $\mathbf{s}_1,\mathbf{s}_2$ of $\mathbf{S}_{\{x,y\}}$ will be called compatible*, and we will still denote this condition by $\mathbf{s}_1 \coprod \mathbf{s}_2$, if there exist two compatible subclusters γ_1,γ_2 of $\mathbf{C}_{\{x,y\}}$ such that $\mathbf{s}_i = \left(\overline{\partial}\gamma_i\right)^* \cap \mathbf{S}_{\{x,y\}}, i=1,2$.*

2.1.2 Renormalization

In Lemma 4 and Proposition 5 in [CG] we proved that φ is subadditive and the sequence $\{\bar{\varphi}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}^d \ni x \longmapsto \bar{\varphi}_n(x) := \frac{\varphi(\lfloor nx\rfloor)}{n} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, converges pointwise on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and uniformly on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, to a convex, homogeneous-of-order-one function $\bar{\varphi}$. As in [CG] we also define

$$\mathcal{W} := \bigcap_{\hat{x}\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left\{w \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle w,\hat{x}\rangle \leq \bar{\varphi}(\hat{x})\right\} . \tag{45}$$

Given $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, let $t \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{W}(x) := \{w \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{W} : \langle w,x\rangle = \bar{\varphi}(x)\}$.

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ larger than 1, let us set $\mathfrak{t}_N = \mathfrak{t}_N(x) := \left\lfloor \frac{\|x\|}{N} \right\rfloor - 1$ and

$$y_i := \lfloor iN\hat{x}\rfloor \ ; \ \mathcal{H}_i^t := \mathcal{H}_{y_i}^t \ ; \mathcal{H}_i^{t,-} := \mathcal{H}_{y_i}^{t,-} \ ; \ \mathcal{H}_i^{t,+} := \mathcal{H}_{y_i}^{t,+} \ , \ i = 0,..,\mathfrak{t}_N \ ; \tag{46}$$

$$y_{\mathfrak{t}_N+1} := x \ ; \ \mathcal{H}_{y_{\mathfrak{t}_N+1}}^t := \mathcal{H}_x^t \ ; \ \mathcal{H}_{y_{\mathfrak{t}_N+1}}^{t,-} := \mathcal{H}_x^{t,-} \ ; \tag{47}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_i^t := \mathcal{H}_i^{t,+} \cap \mathcal{H}_{i+1}^{t,-} \ . \tag{48}$$

With a slight notational abuse we still denote by $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$ its representation as a hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and define

$$\mathbf{C}_i^t := \mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}} \cap \mathcal{S}_i^t \ ; \ \mathbf{S}_i^t := \mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}} \cap \mathcal{S}_i^t \ . \tag{49}$$

Hence, $\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{t}_N}\mathbf{C}_i^t$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}} \cap \mathcal{S}_{0,x}^t \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{t}_N}\mathbf{S}_i^t$.

We call *crossing* any connected component $\mathbf{s}$ of $\mathbf{S}_i^t$ such that, denoting by $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{s})$ the compact subset of $\mathcal{S}_i^t$ whose boundary is $\mathbf{s}$, there exist $y \in \mathcal{H}_i^{t,-} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $y' \in \mathcal{H}_{i+1}^{t,+} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, both belonging to $\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}$, which are connected by an open path in $\mathbb{L}^d \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{s})$.

We remark that since $\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}$ is connected, the existence of two crossings in $\mathcal{S}_i^t$ implies the existence of two disjoint paths connecting $\mathcal{H}_i^t$ and $\mathcal{H}_{i+1}^t$ while the converse does not hold true in general.

We say that a slab $\mathcal{S}_i^t$ is *good* if $\mathbf{S}_i^t$ is connected and made by just a single crossing of size smaller than twice the minimal one, otherwise we call it *bad*.

In [CG] we proved that, for $q = 1$, for $\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right| \leq (1+\delta)\varphi(x)$, with $\delta > \delta^*$ given in (25), the number of bad slabs is at most proportional to $\delta\frac{\|x\|}{N}$. This is a purely deterministic statement. To make the paper self-contained we rederive it here.

Given $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\langle t, x\rangle \leq \langle t, y\rangle$, in [CG], we introduced the function

$$\phi_t(x,y) := \min_{\omega \in \left\{\mathcal{H}_x^t \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{H}_y^t\right\}} \left|\left\{e^* \in \mathbf{S}_{\{x,y\}}(\omega) : e^* \subset \mathcal{S}_{\{x,y\}}^t\right\}\right| , \tag{50}$$

where

$$\left\{\mathcal{H}_x^t \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{H}_y^t\right\} := \bigcup_{(x',y') \in \mathcal{H}_x^{t,-} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathcal{H}_y^{t,+} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \left\{\left|\mathbf{C}_{\{x',y'\}}\right| > 0\right\} , \tag{51}$$

which, by translation invariance, we can write $\phi_t(x,y) = \phi_t(y-x)$, and proved (see [CG] Lemma 17) that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{W}$, $\bar{\phi}_t(x) := \lim_{n\rightarrow\infty} \frac{\phi_t(\lfloor nx \rfloor)}{n} = \bar{\varphi}(x)$. Let η be the fraction of slabs containing a portion of $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$ whose size is larger than or equal to twice the minimal size of a single crossing. Since any crossing is composed by at least $\phi_t(\lfloor N\hat{x} \rfloor)$ plaquettes, we have

$$\frac{\|x\|}{N}\left(\eta 2\phi_t(\lfloor N\hat{x} \rfloor) + (1-\eta)\phi_t(\lfloor N\hat{x} \rfloor)\right) = \frac{\|x\|}{N}(1+\eta)\phi_t(\lfloor N\hat{x} \rfloor) < (1+\delta)\varphi(x) \ . \tag{52}$$

Moreover, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $R_\epsilon > 0$ such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap (R_\epsilon B)^c$, $\varphi(x) \leq \bar{\varphi}(x)(1+\epsilon)$. Hence, choosing N sufficiently large such that $\phi_t(\lfloor N\hat{x} \rfloor) \leq \bar{\phi}_t(N\hat{x})(1+\epsilon)$, since $t \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{W}(x)$, $\bar{\phi}_t(N\hat{x}) = \bar{\varphi}(N\hat{x})$ and, by the previous inequality, we get $\eta < \delta$. Furthermore, since the number of plaquettes of $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$ exceeding $\varphi(x)$ is at most $\delta\varphi(x)$, if $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$ does not give rise to multiple crossings and $\mathcal{S}_i^t$ is a bad slab, the components of $\mathbf{S}_i^t$ which are not crossings must be connected either to $\mathbf{S}_{i-1}^t$ or to $\mathbf{S}_{i+1}^t$. Therefore, the number of bad slabs with a single crossing is at most the same as the number of such triples of consecutive slabs, the last being smaller than $\delta\frac{\|x\|}{N}$. Hence, the total number of bad slabs can be at most equal to $2\delta\frac{\|x\|}{N}$.

Let $t \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{W}(x)$. Denoting by $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^d$ an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$ where $v_1 = \hat{t}$ and $\{v_i\}_{i=2}^d$ is any orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}^t$, we define, for $i \in 0,..,\mathfrak{t}_N$ and $n := (n_2,..,n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$,

$$Q_N(i,n) := \mathcal{S}_i^t \cap \bigcap_{j=2,..,d} \left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle v_j, z\rangle \in [n_j N, (n_j+1)N]\right\} , \tag{53}$$

which we call N*-blocks*.

For any $i=0,..,\mathfrak{t}_N$, we define the N*-sets* $\mathbf{D}_N^t(i)$ to be convex hull in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of the N-blocks $\{Q_N(i,n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}$ intersecting $\mathbf{S}_i^t$. Denoting by $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i_l}^t\right\}_{l=1}^{\mathfrak{g}_N}$ the set of good slabs, the corresponding N-sets $\mathbf{D}_N^t(i_l)$, will be called *good* while the remaining N-sets *bad*, while the set

$$\mathfrak{C}_N:=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{t}_N}\mathbf{D}_N^t(i) \tag{54}$$

will be called N*-renormalized cluster.*

Definition 10 *Given* $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$ *and* $l\in\{1,..,\mathfrak{g}_N\}$, *a point of* $z\in\mathbf{C}_{i_l}^t$ *is called a* (t,ε)*-*correct point *and the collection of these points is denoted by* $\mathbb{K}_\varepsilon^t(0,x)$, *if*

$$\bigcup_{j=0}^{i_l-1}\mathbf{D}_N^t(j)\subset z-\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t)\ \ ,\ \ \bigcup_{j=i_l+1}^{\mathfrak{t}_N}\mathbf{D}_N^t(j)\subset z+\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t)\ \ . \tag{55}$$

Thus, setting $\mathfrak{k}_N:=\left|\mathbb{K}_\varepsilon^t(0,x)\right|,\mathbb{K}_\varepsilon^t(0,x)=\{z_1,..,z_{\mathfrak{k}_N}\}$. Let $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i_k}^t\right\}_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{k}_N}\subseteq\{\mathcal{S}_i^t\}_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{t}_N}$ such that, for any $k=1,..,\mathfrak{k}_N,\mathcal{S}_{i_k}^t\ni z_k$ and define

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon^t(k):=\left((z_k+\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t))\cap(z_{k+1}-\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t))\right)\ ,k=1,..,\mathfrak{k}_N-1\ . \tag{56}$$

We select among these compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ those containing $\bigcup_{j=i_k+1}^{i_{k+1}-1}\mathbf{D}_N^t(j)$ and denote their collection by $\{\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon^t(k_m)\}_{m=1}^{\mathfrak{c}_N-1}$.

Lemma 11 *There exist* $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$ *sufficiently large and a positive constant* $c_5=c_5(\delta,\varepsilon)$, *such that* $\mathfrak{c}_N\geq c_5\frac{\|x\|}{N}$.

Proof. Let M a positive constant to be chosen later. If $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{i_l}^t\right\}_{l=1}^{\mathfrak{g}_N}$, we set $m_1^+:=i_1$ and

$$r_1^+:=\min\left\{k\in\{i_1,..,\mathfrak{t}_N+1\}:\sum_{j=i_1}^{k}\left|\mathbf{S}_j^t\right|>MN(k-i_1)\right\}\ ; \tag{57}$$

$$m_i^+:=\min\left\{r_i^++1,..,\mathfrak{t}_N+1\right\}\cap\{i_1,..,i_{\mathfrak{g}_N}\}\ ; \tag{58}$$

$$r_{i+1}^+:=\min\left\{k\in\left\{m_i^+,..,\mathfrak{t}_N+1\right\}:\sum_{j=m_i^+}^{k}\left|\mathbf{S}_j^t\right|>MN\left(k-m_i^+\right)\right\}\ . \tag{59}$$

Analogously, we define $m_1^-:=i_{\mathfrak{g}_N}$ and

$$r_1^-:=\max\left\{k\in\{0,..,i_{\mathfrak{g}_N}\}:\sum_{j=k}^{\mathfrak{g}_N}\left|\mathbf{S}_j^t\right|>MN(i_{\mathfrak{g}_N}-k)\right\}\ ; \tag{60}$$

$$m_i^-:=\max\left\{0,..,r_i^--1\right\}\cap\{i_1,..,i_{\mathfrak{g}_N}\}\ ; \tag{61}$$

$$r_{i+1}^-:=\max\left\{k\in\left\{0,..,m_i^-\right\}:\sum_{j=k}^{m_i^-}\left|\mathbf{S}_j^t\right|>MN\left(m_i^--k\right)\right\}\ . \tag{62}$$

Since $\left|\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\right| \leq (1+\delta)\,\varphi(x)$ and since by Remark 3 in [CG] there exists $c_+ = c_+(d) > 1$ such that $\varphi(x) \leq c_+ \|x\|$, we get

$$(1+\delta)\,c_+\,\|x\| \geq \sum_{i\geq 1} \sum_{j=r_i^+}^{m_{i+1}^+ - 1} \left|\mathbf{S}_j^t\right| \geq \sum_{i\geq 1} \left(m_{i+1}^+ - 1 - r_i^+\right) MN \tag{63}$$

as well as

$$\sum_{i\geq 1} \left(r_i^- - m_{i+1}^- - 1\right) \leq \frac{(1+\delta)\,c_+}{MN}\,\|x\| \ . \tag{64}$$

Hence, if we denote by $\mathfrak{r}_N^{\pm}$ the number of the slabs labelled by the r_i^+'s and r_i^-'s respectively and by $\mathfrak{m}_N^{\pm}$ the number of the (good) slabs labelled by the $m_i^{\pm}$'s, we obtain $\mathfrak{r}_N^{\pm} \leq \frac{(1+\delta)c_+}{MN}\,\|x\|$ that is, choosing $M > 2\,(1+\delta)\,c_+$,

$$\mathfrak{c}_N = \left|\left\{m_i^+\right\}_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{m}_N^+} \cap \left\{m_i^-\right\}_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{m}_N^-}\right| \geq \left(1 - 2\frac{(1+\delta)\,c_+}{M}\right)\frac{\|x\|}{N} \ . \tag{65}$$

This implies that we can find $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta, d)$ and, setting $\left\{m_i^+\right\}_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{m}_N^+} \cap \left\{m_i^-\right\}_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{m}_N^-} =: \{m_1, .., m_{\mathfrak{c}_N}\}$, in each $\mathcal{S}_{m_i}^t, i = 1, .., \mathfrak{c}_N$, a (t,ε)-correct point z_i such that $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon^t(k) \supset \bigcup_{j=m_i+1}^{m_{i+1}-1} \mathbf{D}_N^t(j)$. $\blacksquare$

By construction, for any $k = 1, .., \mathfrak{c}_N$, each z_k belongs to a given $\mathbf{D}_N^t(i_l)\,, l = 1, .., \mathfrak{g}_N$. Therefore, if also $z_k + u = z_{k+1} \in \mathbf{D}_N^t(i_l)$, by the finite-energy property of $\mathbb{P}_{q,p}$, the probability that $\{z_k, z_k + u\} \in \mathcal{E}^t(0,x)$ is bounded below by $\beta = \beta(M,N) > 0$ regardless of the percolation configuration outside the N-set $\mathbf{D}_N^t(i_l)$. Hence, for a fixed N-renormalized cluster $\mathfrak{C}_N$ containing a subset $\{z_1, .., z_{2L}\}$ of t-correct points such that, $\forall i = 1, .., L, z_{2i} = z_{2i-1} + u$ and any pair $\{z_{2i-1}, z_{2i-1} + u\}$ belong to distinct N-sets $\mathbf{D}_N^t(i_l)\,, l \in \{1, .., \mathfrak{g}_N\}$, the conditional distribution of $|\mathcal{E}^t(0,x)|$ given $\mathfrak{C}_N$ stochastically dominates the binomial distribution of parameters $2L$ and β. Since the number of t-correct points $\mathfrak{k}_N \geq c_5 \frac{\|x\|}{N}$, we have:

Proposition 12 *For any $p \in (p^*, 1)$ sufficiently close to 1 and $\delta > \delta^*$ sufficienly small, with p^* and δ^* given in respectively (24) and (25), uniformly in x and in $t \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{W}(x)$, there exist two positive constants $c_6 = c_6(\delta, \varepsilon)\,, c_7 = c_7(N, M, p, q, d)$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\left|\mathcal{E}^t(0,x)\right| < c_6 \frac{\|x\|}{N}\}\middle| \left\{0 < \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right| < \infty\right\}\right) \leq e^{-c_7\|x\|} \ . \tag{66}$$

2.1.3 Reduction to a one-dimensional thermodynamics

Since by Definition 7 $\mathbf{K}^t(0,x) \subseteq \mathbf{B}^t(0,x)$, it is a totally ordered set with respect to the scalar product with t, we can relabel the elements of $\mathbf{K}^t(0,x)$ in increasing order and consider $\mathbf{K}_e^t(0,x) := \bigvee_{i\geq 1} \{b_i, b_{i+u}\} \subseteq \mathbf{K}^t(0,x)$, where $\forall i \geq 1, \langle b_{i+1}, t\rangle > \langle b_i, t\rangle\,, \{b_i, b_{i+u}\} \in$

$\mathcal{E}^t(0,x)$, which implies that $\mathbf{C}^t_{\{b_i+u,b_{i+1}\}}$ is a t-irreducible subcluster of $\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}$. Therefore, we have proven that, with probability larger than $1-e^{-c_7\|x\|}$, there exists $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\delta)\in(0,1)$ such that, as in the subcritical case [CIV2], $\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}$ can be decomposed as a concatenation of (t,ε)-irreducible compatible subclusters, that is $\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}=\gamma^b\coprod\gamma_1\coprod\cdot\cdot\coprod\gamma_n\coprod\gamma^f$, for some $n\in\mathbb{N},n\geq\frac{c_6}{N}\|x\|$, where $\gamma^b=\mathbf{C}_{\{0,b_1\}}\cap\mathcal{H}^{t,-}_{b_1}$ is (t,ε)-backward irreducible, $\gamma^f=\mathbf{C}_{\{b_{n+1},x\}}\cap\mathcal{H}^{t,+}_{b_n}$ is (t,ε)-forward irreducible and, for $i=1,..,n,\gamma_i=\mathbf{C}^t_{\{b_i+u,b_{i+1}\}}$ is (t,ε)-irreducible. From this follows, by Definition 9, that $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$ can be decomposed as a concatenation of compatible subsets, namely

$$\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}=\mathbf{s}^b\coprod\mathbf{s}_1\coprod\cdot\cdot\coprod\mathbf{s}_n\coprod\mathbf{s}^f\ , \tag{67}$$

with $\mathbf{s}^b=\left(\overline{\partial}\gamma^b\right)^*\cap\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}},\mathbf{s}^f=\left(\overline{\partial}\gamma^f\right)^*\cap\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$ and for $i=1,..,n,\mathbf{s}_i=\left(\overline{\partial}\gamma_i\right)^*\cap\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$. The elements of such a decomposition of $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$ will also be called *t-irreducible*.

If s is a realization of the random element $\mathbf{s}_i,i=1,..,n$, part of the just described decomposition of $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$, considering the just given representation of $\mathbf{K}^t_e(0,x)$, we define

$$i_-(s):=\max\left\{i\geq1:s\subset\mathcal{H}^{t,+}_{b_i}\ ;\ b_i\in\mathbf{K}^t_e(0,x)\right\}\ , \tag{68}$$

$$i_+(s):=\min\left\{i\geq i_-(s)+1:s\subset\mathcal{H}^{t,-}_{b_i}\ ;\ b_i\in\mathbf{K}^t_e(0,x)\right\}\ . \tag{69}$$

Moreover, if s is a realization of $\mathbf{s}_b$, we define

$$i_+(s):=\min\left\{i\geq1:s\subset\mathcal{H}^{t,-}_{b_i}\ ;\ b_i\in\mathbf{K}^t_e(0,x)\right\}\ , \tag{70}$$

and set $b_-(s):=b_{i_-(s)},b_+(s):=b_{i_+(s)}$. Clearly, by definition, $e_-(s):=\{b_-(s),b_-(s)+u\}$ and $e_+(s):=\{b_+(s)-u,b_+(s)\}$ belong to $\mathcal{E}^t(0,x)$. We also set Λ_s to be the subset V of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $(\partial G[V]\backslash\mathcal{E}^t(0,x))^*=s$. Hence, defining, for any realization s of $\mathbf{s}_i,i=1,..,n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_s:=\Big\{&\omega\in\Omega:\exists\gamma\sqsubset G(\omega)\ \text{ s.t. }\ \left(\overline{\partial}\gamma\right)^*\supset s\ ;\ \omega_e=1,\\&\forall e\in\{e_-(s),e_-(s)+u\}\bigvee\{e_+(s)-u,e_+(s),\}\Big\}\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

for any realization s_b of $\mathbf{s}_b$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{s_b}:=\Big\{&\omega\in\Omega:\exists\gamma\sqsubset G(\omega)\ \text{ s.t. }\ \left(\overline{\partial}\gamma\right)^*\supset s_b\ ;\ \omega_e=1,\\&\forall e\in\{e_+(s_b)-u,e_+(s_b)\}\}\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

and for any realization s_f of $\mathbf{s}_f$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{s_f}:=\Big\{&\omega\in\Omega:\exists\gamma\sqsubset G(\omega)\ \text{ s.t. }\ \left(\overline{\partial}\gamma\right)^*\supset s_f\ ;\ \omega_e=1,\\&\forall e\in\{e_-(s_f),e_-(s_f)+u\}\}\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

up to factors of order $1+o\left(e^{-c_7\|x\|}\right)$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0\longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right|<\infty\right\}= \tag{74}$$

$$\sum_{s_b\ni 0}\sum_{s_f\ni x}\sum_{n\geq 1}\sum_{(s_1,..,s_n)}^{*}\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0\longleftrightarrow x\ ,\ \mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}=s_b\coprod s_1\coprod..\coprod s_n\coprod s_f\right\}$$

$$=\sum_{s_b\ni 0}\sum_{s_f\ni x}\sum_{n\geq 1}\sum_{(s_1,..,s_n)}^{*}\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\Omega_b\cap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\Omega_i\right)\cap\Omega_f\right)\ , \tag{75}$$

where $\Omega_{\#}:=\Omega_{s_{\#}}$, $\#=b,f,1,..,n$, and $\sum_{s_b\ni 0}$, $\sum_{s_f\ni x}$ stand respectively for the sum over the elements of

$$\left\{s\subset(\mathbb{E})^{*}:s=\left(\overline{\partial}\gamma\right)^{*}\cap\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\text{ s.t. }\gamma\ni 0\text{ and is }t\text{-backward irreducible}\right\}\ , \tag{76}$$

$$\left\{s\subset(\mathbb{E})^{*}:s=\left(\overline{\partial}\gamma\right)^{*}\cap\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}\text{ s.t. }\gamma\ni x\text{ and is }t\text{-forward irreducible}\right\}\ , \tag{77}$$

while the last sum is over all the realizations $(s_1,..,s_n)$ of the strings $(\mathbf{s}_1,..,\mathbf{s}_n)$ of t-irreducible compatible subsets of $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$.

Decomposition of probabilities Setting, for any $n\geq 1$,

$$\{s_{\#}\}:=\{\omega\in\Omega:\mathbf{s}_{\#}(\omega)=s_{\#}\}\ ,\ \#=b,f,1,..,n \tag{78}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\Lambda_{s_b}\cup\{b_-(s_b)\};q,p}=:\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,p;s_b^{*}}\ ;\ \mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\left\{b_-\left(s_f\right)\right\}\cup\Lambda_{s_f};q,p}=:\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,p;s_f^{*}}\ , \tag{79}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\{b_-(s_i)\}\cup\Lambda_{s_i}\cup\{b_-(s_i)\};q,p}=:\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,p;s_i^{*}}\ , \tag{80}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\Lambda_{s_b}\cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\Lambda_{s_i}\right)\cup\Lambda_{s_f};q,p}=:\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,p;\left(s_b\coprod s_1\coprod..\coprod s_n\coprod s_f\right)^{*}}\ , \tag{81}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\Omega_b\cap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\Omega_i\right)\cap\Omega_f\middle|\ \{s_b\}\cap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\{s_i\}\right)\cap\{s_f\}\right)\\&=\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,p;\left(s_b\coprod s_1\coprod..\coprod s_n\coprod s_f\right)^{*}}\left(\Omega_b\cap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\Omega_i\right)\cap\Omega_f\right)\\&=\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,p;s_b^{*}}(\Omega_b)\,\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,p;s_f^{*}}(\Omega_f)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,p;s_i^{*}}(\Omega_i)\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\Omega_{\#})=\mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\Omega_{\#}|\ \{s_{\#}\})\,\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{s_{\#}\}=\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,p;s_{\#}^{*}}(\Omega_{\#})\,\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{s_{\#}\}\ ,\ \#=b,f\ . \tag{83}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\Omega_b \cap \left(\textstyle\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\Omega_i\right) \cap \Omega_f\right) = \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\Omega_b\right)\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\Omega_f\right)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,p;s_i^*}\left(\Omega_i\right) \times \\ \times \frac{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_b\} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\{s_i\}\right) \cap \{s_f\}\right)}{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{s_b\}\,\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{s_f\}}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

Furthermore, the last term in the r.h.s. of the previous formula admits the equivalent decompositions

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_b\} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\{s_i\}\right) \cap \{s_f\}\right)}{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{s_b\}\,\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{s_f\}} &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_b\} \mid \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\{s_i\}\right) \cap \{s_f\}\right)}{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{s_b\}} \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_j\} \mid \left(\textstyle\bigcap_{i=j+1}^{n}\{s_i\}\right) \cap \{s_f\}\right)\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_n\} \mid \{s_f\}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= \frac{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_f\} \mid \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\{s_i\}\right) \cap \{s_b\}\right)}{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{s_f\}} \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-2}\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_{n-j}\} \mid \left(\textstyle\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-j-1}\{s_i\}\right) \cap \{s_b\}\right)\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_1\} \mid \{s_b\}\right)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

Once we have fixed s_b and s_f, we choose one of the just defined representations, say the first, and, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denoting by $\mathcal{I}_t^n$ the collection of strings $(s_1, .., s_n)$ of t-irreducible compatible subsets of $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$, we set

$$\mathcal{I}_t^n \ni (s_1, .., s_n) \longmapsto g\left(s_1, .., s_n; s_b, s_f\right) := \frac{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_b\} \mid \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\{s_i\}\right) \cap \{s_f\}\right)}{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\{s_b\}} \in [0, +\infty)\ , \tag{87}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_t^n \ni (s_1, .., s_n) \longmapsto \Xi\left(s_1, .., s_n; s_f\right) := \log \mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{f}}_{q,p;s_1^*}\left(\Omega_1\right)\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_1\} \mid \left(\textstyle\bigcap_{j=2}^{n}\{s_j\}\right) \cap \{s_f\}\right) \in (-\infty, 0]\ . \tag{88}$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}_t := \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{I}_t^n$, where $\mathfrak{I}_t^n$ is the set of infinite sequences $\underline{s} := (s_1, ...)$ such that the string composed by the first n symbols appearing in $\underline{s}$ label the elements of $\mathcal{I}_t^n$, while the remaining symbols are fixed to be the empty set. Setting, for any $\underline{s}, \underline{s}' \in \mathfrak{S}_t$ such that $\underline{s} \neq \underline{s}', \mathbf{i}\left(\underline{s}, \underline{s}'\right) := \min\left\{k \geq 1 : s_k \neq s_k'\right\}$ and, for any complex-valued function f on $\mathfrak{S}_t, \mathbf{var}_k\left(f\right) := \sup_{\{\underline{s},\underline{s}' \in \mathfrak{S}_t\ :\ \mathbf{i}(\underline{s},\underline{s}') \geq k\}}\left|f\left(\underline{s}\right) - f\left(\underline{s}'\right)\right|$, let $\mathfrak{H}_\theta$ be the Banach space of real bounded continuous functions on $\mathfrak{S}_t$ which are also uniformly Hölder continuous for a given exponent $\theta < 1$ endowed with the norm $\left\|\cdot\right\|_\theta := \left\|\cdot\right\|_\infty + \sup_{k\geq 2}\frac{\mathbf{var}_k(\cdot)}{\theta^{k-1}}$.

In the next subsection we will prove that $g\left(\cdot; s_b, s_f\right)$ and $\Xi\left(\cdot; s_f\right)$ admit a unique extension on $\mathfrak{H}_\theta$ for some $\theta < 1$ denoted respectively by g_{s_b,s_f} and Ξ_{s_f}. This will allow us to define the Ruelle's operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{s_f} f\left(\underline{s}\right) := \sum_{s\in\mathcal{I}_t} e^{\Xi_{s_f}(s,\underline{s})} f\left(s, \underline{s}\right)\ ,\ f \in \mathfrak{H}_\theta\ , \tag{89}$$

where $\mathcal{I}_t := \mathcal{I}_t^1$, whose largest isolated eigenvalue is finite and has multiplicity 1, since by Proposition 12,

$$\sup_{\underline{s} \in \mathfrak{S}_t} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{I}_t} e^{\Xi_{s_f}(s,\underline{s})} < \infty \ . \tag{90}$$

Therefore, up to factors of order $1 + o\left(e^{-c_7 \|x\|}\right)$, by (74),

$$\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow x \ , \ \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right| < \infty\right\} = \sum_{s_b \ni 0} \sum_{s_f \ni x} \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\Omega_b)\, \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\Omega_f) \left[\mathcal{L}_{s_f}\right]^n g_{s_b,s_f}(\emptyset) \ , \tag{91}$$

where $\emptyset$ stands for the sequence $(\varnothing, ...) \in \mathfrak{S}_t$.

Let

$$\mathfrak{K}_{q,p} := \bigcap_{\hat{y} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left\{w \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle w, \hat{y} \rangle \leq \tau_{q,p}(\hat{y})\right\} \tag{92}$$

the convex body polar with respect to $\mathcal{U}_{q,p} := \left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \tau_{q,p}(y) \leq 1\right\}$. Since $\tau_{q,p}$ and $\bar{\varphi}$ are equivalent norms in $\mathbb{R}^d$, if $v \in \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{K}_{q,p}$ is polar to x (i.e. $\langle v, x \rangle = \tau_{q,p}(x)$), we can choose $t = t(v)$ as one of the elements of $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{W}(x)$ maximizing its scalar product with v. Notice that, by translation invariance of the RC random field, we can consider any realization of $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$ as a collection $s_b, (s_1, .., s_n), s_f$ of realizations of its t-irreducible components modulo $\mathbb{Z}^d$-shift patched together. Then, for any element $\mathbf{s}_i, i \geq 1$ of the t-irreducible decomposition of $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$(67) we define

$$X(\mathbf{s}_i) := b_{i+1} - b_i \ . \tag{93}$$

Thus, up to factors of order $1 + o\left(e^{-c_7 \|x\|}\right)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\tau_{q,p}(x)} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow x \ , \ \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right| < \infty\right\} = \qquad (94) \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{H}_0^{v,+} \cap \mathcal{H}_0^{t,+}} \ \sum_{z \in \mathcal{H}_x^{v,-} \cap \mathcal{H}_x^{t,}} \ \sum_{s_b \ni -y} \sum_{s_f \ni x-z} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\Omega_b)\, \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\Omega_f)\, e^{\langle v, x-(z-y) \rangle} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n \geq 1} \ \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{(s_1,..,s_n) \ : \ \sum_{i=1}^n X(s_i) = z-y} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\Omega_b \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \Omega_i\right) \cap \Omega_f\right)}{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\Omega_b)\, \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\Omega_f)} e^{\langle v, z-y \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{H}_0^{v,+} \cap \mathcal{H}_0^{t,+}} \ \sum_{z \in \mathcal{H}_x^{v,-} \cap \mathcal{H}_x^{t,-}} \ \sum_{s_b \ni -y} \sum_{s_f \ni x-z} \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\Omega_b)\, \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\Omega_f)\, e^{\langle v, x-(z-y) \rangle} \left[\mathcal{L}_{s_f}^v\right]^n g_{s_b,s_f}(\emptyset) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where, assuming the shifts of t-backward and t-forward irreducible clusters are normalised in such a way that $b_1 = b_{n+1} = 0, \sum_{s_b \ni -y}, \sum_{s_f \ni x-y}$ now stand respectively for the sum over the elements of

$$\left\{s \subset (\mathbb{E})^* : s = \left(\overline{\partial}\gamma\right)^* \cap \mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}} \text{ s.t. } \gamma \ni -y \text{ and is } t\text{-backward irreducible}\right\} \ , \tag{95}$$

$$\left\{s \subset (\mathbb{E})^* : s = \left(\overline{\partial}\gamma\right)^* \cap \mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}} \text{ s.t. } \gamma \ni x-z \text{ and is } t\text{-forward irreducible}\right\} \tag{96}$$

and, $\mathcal{L}_{s_f}^{v}$ is the *tilted* Ruelle's operator on $\mathfrak{H}_\theta$ defined, as in (89), by the potential $\Xi_v : \mathcal{I}_t^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Xi_v\left(s_1, .., s_n; s_f\right) := \log e^{\langle v, X(s_1)\rangle} \mathbb{P}_{q,p;s_1^*}^{\mathrm{f}}\left(\Omega_1\right) \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\left\{s_1\right\} \mid \left(\bigcap_{j=2}^n \left\{s_j\right\}\right) \cap \left\{s_f\right\}\right) . \tag{97}$$

We refer the reader to [CIV1] sections 3.2 and 4 for further details on Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius theorem on countable alphabets.

Polymer expansion for the supercritical Random Cluster model A polymer expansion for the supercritical Random Cluster model has already been set up in [PS] for any $q > 0$. However, in order to prove Proposition 14 below, instead of adapting to our purpose the formalism developed in that work, we find it more convenient to perform the expansion in a form closer to the one presented in [KP].

We can look at the elements of the collection of the connected subgraphs of finite order of $\mathfrak{G} = \left(\left(\mathbb{E}^d\right)^*, \mathfrak{E}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{E}$ is defined in (11), as a set of *polymers* which we denote by S. Two polymers $\mathsf{s}, \mathsf{s}' \in \mathsf{S}$ are said to be *compatible*, and we write $\mathsf{s} \sim \mathsf{s}'$, if they are not connected (as subgraphs of $\mathfrak{G}$), otherwise are said to be *incompatible* and we write $\mathsf{s} \nsim \mathsf{s}'$. Given $S \subset \mathsf{S}$, we denote by $\mathfrak{P}(S)$ the collection of the subsets of S consisting of mutually compatible polymers and call *contours* the elements of $\mathfrak{P}_0(S) := \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}(S) : |\sigma| < \infty\}$. We also set $\mathfrak{P} := \mathfrak{P}(\mathsf{S}), \mathfrak{P}_0 := \mathfrak{P}_0(\mathsf{S})$. Given $S \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathsf{S}), \mathsf{s} \in \mathsf{S}$ we write $S \nsim \mathsf{s}$ if there exists $\mathsf{s}' \in S$ such that $\mathsf{s}' \nsim \mathsf{s}$. Moreover, we call S a *polymer cluster* if it cannot be decomposed as a union of $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathsf{S})$ such that every pair $\mathsf{s}_1 \in S_1, \mathsf{s}_2 \in S_2$ is compatible. We denote by $\mathsf{C}(S)$ the collection of polymer clusters in S and let C be the collection of polymer clusters in S.

Given $\Lambda \subset\subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we denote by S_Λ the subset of S such that, for any $\mathsf{s} \in \mathsf{S}_\Lambda, \mathsf{s} \subset G\left[\left(\mathbb{E}^\Lambda\right)^*\right]$, where we recall that, for any $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}^d, G\left[\left(\mathbb{E}^\Delta\right)^*\right]$ is the subgraph of $\mathfrak{G}$ induced by $\left(\mathbb{E}^\Delta\right)^*$. We also set $E_S^* := V\left(\bigcup_{\mathsf{s} \in S} \mathsf{s}\right)$. Then, we define $\kappa_{\mathrm{w}}(S)$ to be the number of the components of $\left(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{E}^d \backslash E_S\right)$ and $\kappa_{\mathrm{f}}(S)$ to be the number of the components of $\left(\Lambda, \mathbb{E}^\Lambda \backslash E_S\right)$. Moreover, for any $\mathsf{s} \in S_\Lambda$, we set $\|\mathsf{s}\|_\# := \kappa_\#(\mathsf{s}) - 1, \# =$f,w.

Let $\mathfrak{P}_\Lambda := \mathfrak{P}(\mathsf{S}_\Lambda)$. We remark that, given $\mathsf{s} \in S_\Lambda$, for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_\Lambda$ such that $\sigma \ni \mathsf{s}, \|\mathsf{s}\|_\# = \kappa_\#(\sigma) - \kappa_\#(\sigma \backslash \mathsf{s})$.

The function

$$\mathfrak{P}_\Lambda \ni \sigma \longmapsto \Psi_\#(\sigma) := \prod_{\mathsf{s} \in \sigma} \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{|\mathsf{s}|} q^{\|\mathsf{s}\|_\#} \in \mathbb{R}^+ , \quad \# = \mathrm{f,w} , \tag{98}$$

where we set $\Psi_\#(\varnothing) := 1$, is called *activity* of the contour σ. Since, $\|\mathsf{s}\|_\# \leq |\mathsf{s}|$,we get

$$\Psi_\#(\sigma) \leq \prod_{\mathsf{s} \in \sigma} \left(\frac{1-p}{p} q\right)^{|\mathsf{s}|} . \tag{99}$$

We then define, for any $S \subseteq \mathsf{S}_\Lambda$,

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{q,p}^{\#}(S) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}(S)} \Psi_{\#}(\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}(S)} \prod_{\mathsf{s} \in \sigma} \left(\frac{1-p}{p} \right)^{|\mathsf{s}|} q^{\|\mathsf{s}\|_{\#}} \ , \quad \# = \text{f,w}. \tag{100}$$

Considering for each $\mathsf{s} \in \mathsf{S}_\Lambda$ a minimal spanning tree and bounding their number as in Proposition 3, we obtain that we can choose $c_8 > 0$ such that, for $p \in (p_0, 1)$, with $p_0 = p_0(q,d) := \frac{1}{1 + \frac{e^{c_8}}{q c_3} \frac{c_8}{2 + c_8}}$,

$$\sum_{\mathsf{s}' \in \mathsf{S}_\Lambda \,:\, \mathsf{s}' \nsim \mathsf{s}} e^{c_8 |\mathsf{s}'|} \left(\frac{1-p}{p} q \right)^{|\mathsf{s}'|} \leq |\mathsf{s}| \frac{c_3 e^{c_8} \left(\frac{1-p}{p} q \right)}{1 - c_3 e^{c_8} \left(\frac{1-p}{p} q \right)} \leq \frac{c_8}{2} |\mathsf{s}| \ . \tag{101}$$

Therefore, given $\mathsf{s} \in \mathsf{S}_\Lambda$, if $\ell(\mathsf{s})$ denotes the diameter of $V(\mathsf{s})$ considered as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, since $\ell(\mathsf{s}) \leq |\mathsf{s}|$,

$$\sum_{\mathsf{s}' \in \mathsf{S}_\Lambda \,:\, \mathsf{s}' \nsim \mathsf{s}} e^{\frac{c_8}{2}|\mathsf{s}'| + \frac{c_8}{2}\ell(\mathsf{s}')} \left(\frac{1-p}{p} \right)^{|\mathsf{s}'|} q^{\|\mathsf{s}'\|_{\#}} \leq \frac{c_8}{2} |\mathsf{s}| \ . \tag{102}$$

Thus, by the theorem in [KP], for any $S \subseteq \mathsf{S}_\Lambda$,

$$\log \mathfrak{Z}_{q,p}^{\#}(S) = \sum_{S' \in \mathsf{C}(S)} \vartheta_{\#}(S) \ , \quad \# = \text{f,w} \tag{103}$$

where, setting $\mathsf{C}_\Lambda := \mathsf{C}(\mathsf{S}_\Lambda)$,

$$\mathsf{C}_\Lambda \ni S \longmapsto \vartheta_{\#}(S) := \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{P}(S)} (-1)^{|S| - |S'|} \log \mathfrak{Z}_{q,p}^{\#}(S') \tag{104}$$

is such that, $\forall \mathsf{s} \in \mathsf{S}_\Lambda$,

$$\sum_{S \in \mathsf{C}_\Lambda \,:\, S \nsim \mathsf{s}} e^{\frac{c_8}{2} \sum_{\mathsf{s}' \in S} \ell(\mathsf{s}')} |\vartheta_{\#}(S)| \leq \frac{c_8}{2} |\mathsf{s}| \ . \tag{105}$$

Condition (105) provides the existence of thermodynamics for the polymer model with partition function $\mathfrak{Z}_\Lambda^{\#}(q,p) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_\Lambda} \Psi_{\#}(\sigma)$, i.e. the existence of the limit $\lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{\log \mathfrak{Z}_\Lambda^{\#}(q,p)}{|\Lambda|}$ along any cofinal sequence (see [Ge]) $\{\Lambda\} \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ [KP], this limit being independent of the boundary conditions.

Considering the realization of the elements of the decomposition of $\mathbf{S}_{\{0,x\}}$ given in (67) as elements of S we have

Lemma 13 *Let* s_1, s, s_f, s'_f *be realizations of respectively* $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}_f$. *Then, by (78) and (85) there exists* $c_{10} > 0$ *such that*

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_1\} \mid \{s\} \cap \{s_f\}\right)}{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_1\} \mid \{s\} \cap \left\{s'_f\right\}\right)} \leq \exp e^{-c_{10} dist\left(s_1, s_f \triangle s'_f\right)} \ . \tag{106}$$

Proof. By (17), (100), and (103), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_1\} \mid \{s\} \cap \{s_f\}\right)}{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_1\} \mid \{s\} \cap \left\{s'_f\right\}\right)} &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_1\} \cap \{s\} \cap \{s_f\}\right)}{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s_1\} \cap \{s\} \cap \left\{s'_f\right\}\right)} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s\} \cap \left\{s'_f\right\}\right)}{\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\{s\} \cap \{s_f\}\right)} \qquad (107) \\
&= \exp\left[\sum_{S \in \mathsf{C} \,:\, S \nsim s_1 \coprod s \coprod s_f} \vartheta_{\mathrm{w}}(S) - \sum_{S \in \mathsf{C} \,:\, S \nsim s \coprod s_f} \vartheta_{\mathrm{w}}(S) + \right. \\
&\left. + \sum_{S \in \mathsf{C} \,:\, S \nsim s \coprod s'_f} \vartheta_{\mathrm{w}}(S) - \sum_{S \in \mathsf{C} \,:\, S \nsim s_1 \coprod s \coprod s'_f} \vartheta_{\mathrm{w}}(S)\right] \\
&= \exp\left[\sum_{S \in \mathsf{C} \,:\, S \nsim s_1, S \nsim s_f} \vartheta_{\mathrm{w}}(S) - \sum_{S \in \mathsf{C} \,:\, S \nsim s_1, S \nsim s'_f} \vartheta_{\mathrm{w}}(S)\right] \\
&= \exp \sum_{S \in \mathsf{C} \,:\, S \nsim s_1, S \nsim s_f \triangle s'_f} \vartheta_{\mathrm{w}}(S) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Since, by definition of s_1, s_f and s'_f, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $b_+(s_1), b_-(s_f), b_-\left(s'_f\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $s_1 \subset b_+(s_1) - \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t), s_f \subset b_-(s_f) + \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t)$ and $s'_f \subset b_-\left(s'_f\right) + \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(t)$,

for any $\mathsf{s} \in S$ such that $S \in \mathsf{C}$ and $S \nsim s_1 \bigvee s_f, \ell(\mathsf{s}) \geq \|b_+(s_1) - b_-(s_f)\|$. Hence, given $\zeta \in (0,1)$, let us define, for any $l \geq 0, \mathcal{S}^t_{l\zeta N, b_2} := \mathcal{H}^{t,+}_{b_2 + \hat{t} l \zeta N} \cap \mathcal{H}^{t,-}_{b_2 + \hat{t}(l+1)\zeta N}$ and $\mathcal{S}^t_{l\zeta N, b_1} := \mathcal{H}^{t,-}_{b_1 - \hat{t} l \zeta N} \cap \mathcal{H}^{t,+}_{b_1 - \hat{t}(l+1)\zeta N}$, where b_2 is the element of the set $\left\{b_-(s_f), b_-\left(s'_f\right)\right\}$ closer to $b_1 := b_+(s_1)$ w.r.t. the Euclidean distance. Setting $s_1^{(l)} := s_1 \cap \mathcal{S}^t_{l\zeta N, b_1}, s_f^{(k)} := \left(s_f \triangle s'_f\right) \cap \mathcal{S}^t_{l\zeta N, b_1}$, there exists $c_9 = c_9(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, by (105), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{S \in \mathsf{C} \,:\, S \nsim s_1, S \nsim s_f \triangle s'_f} |\vartheta_{\mathrm{w}}(S)| &= \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \sum_{S \in \mathsf{C} \,:\, S \nsim \mathsf{s} \text{ s.t. } \mathsf{s} \in s_1^{(k)} \bigvee s_f^{(l)}} |\vartheta_{\mathrm{w}}(S)| \qquad (108) \\
&\leq \sum_{k,l \geq 0} e^{-c_8 dist\left(s_1^{(k)}, s_f^{(l)}\right)} \sum_{S \in \mathsf{C} \,:\, S \nsim \mathsf{s} \text{ s.t. } \mathsf{s} \in s_1^{(k)} \bigvee s_f^{(l)}} |\vartheta_{\mathrm{w}}(S)| \, e^{c_8 \sum_{\mathsf{s}' \in S} \ell(\mathsf{s}')} \\
&\leq e^{-c_8 \|b_1 - b_2\|} \left(c_9 \zeta N \int_0^\infty dr e^{-c_8 r} r^{d-1}\right)^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

■

A straightforward consequence of this result is the following

Proposition 14 *There exists* $\theta = \theta(p,q,d) \in (0,1)$ *and two positive constants* c_{11}, c_{12} *such that uniformly in* $v \in \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{K}_{q,p}$, t*-irreducible subsets* s_1, s_b, *strings of* t*-irreducible subsets* $\underline{s}, \underline{s}'$, *and pairs of* t*-irreducible subsets* s_f, s'_f :

$$c_{11} \leq g\left(\underline{s}|s_b, s_f\right) \leq \frac{1}{c_{11}} \ , \tag{109}$$

$$\left|g\left(s_1, \underline{s}|s_b, s_f\right) - g\left(s_1, \underline{s}'|s_b, s'_f\right)\right| \leq c_{12}\theta^{\mathbf{i}(\underline{s},\underline{s}')} \ , \tag{110}$$

$$\left|\Xi_v\left(s_1, \underline{s}|s_b, s_f\right) - \Xi_v\left(s_1, \underline{s}'|s_b, s'_f\right)\right| \leq c_{12}\theta^{\mathbf{i}(\underline{s},\underline{s}')} \ . \tag{111}$$

2.2 Exact asymptotics of finite connections

We refer to [CIV1] section 5 for the derivation of local limit type results associated with Ruelle's operators on countable alphabets.

Let $p \in (p_0 \vee p^*, 1)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the measure on $\mathcal{I}_t^n$,

$$\nu_n^v\left(s_1, .., s_n|s_b, s_f\right) := e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \Xi_v\left(s_i, .., s_n; s_f\right)} g\left(s_1, .., s_n; s_b, s_f\right) \tag{112}$$

allow us to represent (94) as

$$e^{\tau_{q,p}(x)}\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left\{0 \longleftrightarrow x \ , \ \left|\mathbf{C}_{\{0,x\}}\right| < \infty\right\} = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{H}_0^{v,+} \cap \mathcal{H}_0^{t,+}} \ \sum_{z \in \mathcal{H}_x^{v,-} \cap \mathcal{H}_x^{t,-}} \ \sum_{s_b \ni -y} \ \sum_{s_f \ni x-z} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\Omega_b\right)\mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\Omega_f\right) e^{\langle v, x-(z-y)\rangle} \times \tag{113}$$

$$\times \sum_{n \geq 1} \nu_n^v\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X\left(s_i\right) = z - y|s_b, s_f\right) \ .$$

Because Proposition 12 implies

$$\sum_{s_b \ni -u} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\Omega_b\right) e^{\langle v,u\rangle} \leq e^{-c_7\|u\|} \ ; \ \sum_{s_f \ni u} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}\left(\Omega_f\right) e^{\langle v,u\rangle} \leq e^{-c_7\|u\|} \tag{114}$$

uniformly in $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the main contribution of the r.h.s. of (94) comes from the last sum in (113) when $z - y$ is close to x and n is close to the optimal value. Therefore, proceding as in section 4.1 of [CIV2] we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \nu_n^v\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X\left(s_i\right) = z - y|s_b, s_f\right) = \frac{\Theta_{q,p}\left(\hat{x}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(2\pi\left\|x\right\|\right)^{d-1}}} F\left(s_b\right) F\left(s_f\right)\left(1 + o\left(1\right)\right) \ , \tag{115}$$

where Θ is a locally analytic positive function defined on a neighborhood of $\hat{x}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and F is a function on the set of all the possible realizations of t-backward and t-forward subsets s_b and s_f which is bounded above and below.

This proves Theorem 1 with

$$\Phi_{q,p}(\hat{x}) = \Theta_{q,p}(\hat{x}) \left(\sum_{u \in \mathcal{H}_0^{v,+} \cap \mathcal{H}_0^{t,+}} \sum_{s_f \ni u} \mathbb{P}_{q,p}(\Omega_f)\, e^{\langle v,u \rangle} F(s_f) \right)^2 . \tag{116}$$

References

[BF] J. Bricmont, J. Fröhlich *Statistical mechanical methods in particle structure analysis of lattice field theories II. Scalar and surface Models* Commun. Math. Phys. **98**, 553-578 (1985).

[BHK] J. van den Berg, O. Häggström, J. Kahn *Some conditional correlation inequalities for percolation and related processes* Random Structures and Algorithms **29**(**4**), 417-435 (2006).

[Bo] B. Bollobás *Modern Graph Theory* Springer (1998).

[BPS] G. A. Braga, A. Procacci, R. Sanchis *Ornstein-Zernike behaviour for Bernoulli bond percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the supercritical regime* Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **3**, no. 4, 581-606 (2004).

[CCC] M. Campanino, J. T. Chayes, L. Chayes *Gaussian fluctuations in the subcritical regime of percolation* Probab. Theory and Related Fields **88**, 269-341 (1991).

[CG] M. Campanino, M. Gianfelice *On the Ornstein-Zernike behaviour for the Bernoulli bond percolation on $Z^d, d \geq 3$, in the supercitical regime* J. Stat. Phys. **145,** 1407-1422 (2011).

[CI] M. Campanino, D. Ioffe *Ornstein-Zernike theory for the Bernoulli bond Percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$* Ann. Probab. **30** No. 2, 652-682 (2002).

[CIL] M. Campanino, D. Ioffe, O. Louidor *Finite connections for supercritical Bernoulli bond percolation in 2D* Markov Proc. Rel. Fields **16**, 225-266 (2010).

[CIV1] M. Campanino, D. Ioffe, Y. Velenik *Ornstein-Zernike theory for the finite range Ising models above T_c* Probab. Theory and Relat. Fields **125**, 305-349 (2003).

[CIV2] M. Campanino, D. Ioffe, Y. Velenik *Fluctuation Theory of Connectivities for Subcritical Random Cluster Models* Ann. Probab. **36**, 1287-1321 (2008).

[CD-CIV] L. Coquille, H. Duminil-Copin, D. Ioffe, Y. Velenik *On the Gibbs states of the non-critical Potts model on $\mathbb{Z}^2$* Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **158** 477-512 (2014).

[ES] R. G. Edwards, A. D. Sokal *Generalization of the Fortuin-Kasteleyn-Swendsen-Wang representation and Monte Carlo algorithm* Phys. Rev. D **38**, 2009-2012 (1988).

[FK] C. Fortuin, P. Kasteleyn *On the random-cluster model I. Introduction and relation to other models* Physica **57**, 536-564 (1972).

[Ga] G. Gallavotti *The phase separation line in the two-dimensional ising model* Commun. Math. Phys. **27**, 103-136 (1972).

[Ge] H.-O. Georgii *Gibbs Measures and Phase Transition* (Second Edition) De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 9 (2011).

[Gr] G. Grimmett *Random-Cluster Model* A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics vol. 333, Springer, Berlin (2009).

[KP] R. Kotecký, D. Preiss *Cluster expansion for Abstract Polymer Models* Commun. Math. Phys. **103**, 491-498 (1986).

[PS] A. Procacci, B. Scoppola *Analyticity and Mixing Properties for Random Cluster Model with $q > 0$ on $\mathbb{Z}^d$* J. Stat. Phys. **123** 1285-1310 (2006).